| | No. of Agencies | No. of Projects | Amount Sanctioned |
|---|---|---|---|
| Bihar | 150 | 222 | 4,37,23,448 |
| Chandigarh | 1 | 1 | 8,02,500 |
| Dadra and Nagar Haveli | | | |
| Delhi | 41 | 58 | 1,18,82,421 |
| Goa Daman Diu | | | |
| Gujarat | 65 | 97 | 5,45,83,622 |
| Haryana | 21 | 30 | 32,70.61 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 15 | 22 | 31,71,626 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 4 | 4 | 3,99,918 |
| Karnataka | 55 | 94 | 3,16,05,450 |
| Kerala | 70 | 96 | 2.59,80,154 |
| Lakshadweep | | | |
| Madhya Pradesh | 46 | 77 | 1,16,37,336 |
| Maharashtra | 128 | 195 | 8,90,73,214 |
| Manipur | 63 | 80 | 90,68,265 |
| Meghalaya | 2 | 3 | 8,27,400 |
| Mizoram | 3 | 3 | 18,44,891 |
| Nagaland | 1 | 1 | .7,52.777 |
| Orissa | 68 | 107 | 1,88,01,136 |
| Pondicherry | 3 | 5 | 3,88,396 |
| Punjab | 5 | 7 | 18,81,726 |
| Rajasthan | 55 | 109 | 3,24,98.694 |
| Sikkim | | | |
| Tamilnadu | 164 | 252 | 5,40,24,354 |
| Tripura | 1 | 1 | 1,11,100 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 276 | 405 | 6,54,68,969 |
| West Bengal | 98 | 351 | 8,69,16,971 |
| TOTAL: | 1,590 | 2,438 | 60,15,31,024 |

**Formulation of Programmes of Action for Speedier Implementation of Some Land Reforms Measures**

481. SHRI KAILASH PATI MISHRA: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) the date of which the Programme of Action for Speedier Implementation of some Land Reforms measures, to. improve the access of the rural poor to land was formulated, and what has been the outcome thereof as on date;

(b) what are the items proposed for inclusion in the Programme; and

(c) what action in advance in relation to each of these items has been suggested to the State Governments till the Programme of Action is finally formulated and forwarded to them?

THE DEPUTY PRIME MINISTER AND THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI DEVI LAL): (a) and (b) With a view to improve the access of rural poor to land, a Programme of action involving speedier implementation of seme land reforms measures was drawn up in May, 1989. The items proposed for inclusion in the Programme were:

(1) conferring ownership rights on landless rural poor in respect of homesteads occupied by them;

(2) unearthing of Farzi land trans-actions undertaken to evade ceiling laws;

(3) bring oral tenarrts/sharecroppers on record;

(4) verfication of possession in respect of SC/ST allottees of land;

(5) reservation for women in future allotment of land;

(6) measures for expeditious distribution of surplus ceiling land at present locked in litigation.

(c) Land being a State subject, State Governments were addressed for their views on the proposed programme, inclusion of. any more items in it, methodology to be followed for its implementation, the likely problems to be faced and the estimated financial expenditure etc. While brief explanatory notes on each items were enclosed with the letter to State Governments, no advance action was suggested.

Recommendations on the Urban Poor

428. SHRI KAILASH PATI MISHRA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to the reply to Unstarred Question 1249 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 28th July, 1989 and state:

(a) when the Expert Group to analyse the nature, characteristics and dimensions of Urban Poverty and how to provide training and skill formation facilities and improved employment prospects for the urban poor was formed and who are its members;

(b) the State-wise success achieved as yet through the schemes of Urban Basic Services and Self Employment Programme for the Urban Poor; and

(c) what are the recommendations of the National Commission on Urbanisation regarding the 'Problem of Urban poverty' and the outcome of the follow-up action on each recommendation?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) to (c) The information is given in the Statement enclosed.

Statement

(a) The Expert Group to analyse the nature, characteristics and dimensions of Urban Poverty was appointed on 25th May 1988 by the Planning Commission. The composition of the Group is as follows:—

1. Dr. Raja J. Chelliah, Member, Planning Commission, Govt, of India, New Delhi. —Chairman
2. Shri O.P. Mathur, Director, National Institute of Urban Affairs, Delhi. —Member
3. Shri M.N. Buch, Director later substituted by Shri Fatehbir Bahadur, Director (Projects), National Centre of Human Settlement, Bhopal. —Member
4. Dr. Ashish Bose, Professor, Institute of Economic Growth, Delhi. —Member
5. Dr. P.K. Muttagi, Professor & Head of Urban Studies Unit Tata Institute.of Social Sciences, Bombay. —Member
6. Dr. Amitabh Kundu, Director, Indian Council of Social Science Research, New Delhi. —Member
7. Dr. (Mrs.) Indira Rajaraman, Professor of Economics and Social Sciences Areas, Indian Institute of Management, Bangalore. —Member